

Enhanced regioselectivity of rhodium-catalysed alkene hydroboration in supercritical carbon dioxide

Charles A. G. Carter,^a R. Thomas Baker,^{*a} Steven P. Nolan^b and William Tumas^{*a}

^a Los Alamos Catalysis Initiative, Chemical Science and Technology Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, MS J514, Los Alamos, NM 87545 USA. E-mail: weg@lanl.gov; tumas@lanl.gov


^b Department of Chemistry, University of New Orleans, New Orleans, LA 70148 USA

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 2nd December 1999, Accepted 26th January 2000

Catalysed alkene hydroboration proceeds in supercritical CO₂ with several rhodium(I) complexes using tunable fluorinated ligands and shows higher regioselectivity relative to tetrahydrofuran or perfluoromethylcyclohexane.

The power of homogeneous transition metal catalysis rests in chemists' ability to fine-tune the steric and electronic properties of the metal coordination environment and to optimize activity and selectivity by judicious choice of the reaction medium.¹ While a number of studies have employed fluorinated ligands^{2–4} to conduct a variety of metal-catalysed reactions⁵ in environmentally benign supercritical carbon dioxide (scCO₂), little work has been reported on catalyst tunability. Recently reported phosphines PR₂R_F^{3,6,7} and phosphinites PR₂(OR_F)^{6,7} (R_F = CH₂CH₂C₆F₁₃) are easily prepared and offer the ability not only to enhance catalyst solubility, but to control the stereoelectronic environment of catalysts in scCO₂. We chose to investigate Rh^I-catalysed alkene hydroboration^{8,9} due to the importance of boronate ester products in synthetic organic chemistry, medicinal chemistry, materials science and molecular recognition. The catalyzed reaction exhibits higher rates and complementary regioselectivity compared to the uncatalysed reaction, and efficient chirality transfer has been demonstrated in the asymmetric variant.⁹ We now report the first demonstration of catalysed alkene hydroboration in scCO₂, the ability to tune both regio- and chemo-selectivity, and the discovery of much higher selectivity in scCO₂ compared to perfluoromethylcyclohexane (CF₃C₆F₁₁).

Catalyzed hydroboration of styrene derivatives with catecholborane (HBcat) was carried out in scCO₂ at 40 °C and 2800 psi for 5 h with a rhodium catalyst precursor and added phosphorus ligands using magnetically-stirred, high-pressure reactors with sapphire view windows that have been described elsewhere.¹⁰ Addition of HBcat to vinylanisole using only the hexafluoroacetylacetonate (hfacac) rhodium complex (hfacac)Rh(coe)₂ **1** (coe = cyclooctene) as catalyst precursor² led to a homogeneous solution and, after 5 h, high conversion to a mixture of alkylboronate esters **A** and **B**, alkenylboronate ester **C**, and 4-ethylanisole **D** (Scheme 1, Table 1). Products **C** and **D** result from a competing dehydrogenative borylation pathway which is favoured by phosphine-free rhodium catalysts.¹¹ Addition of triphenylphosphine to **1** gave turbid solutions due to poor ligand and/or catalyst solubility in scCO₂. The fluorinated ligands, including **5–8** led to homogeneous solutions demonstrating that a single fluorinated substituent is sufficient to impart high solubility in scCO₂. As shown in Table 1, added ligands dramatically influence the regioselectivity of hydro-




Scheme 1

Table 1 Ligand effects on catalysed hydroboration of vinylanisole in scCO₂^a

Entry	L	Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)			
			A	B	C	D
1	No added ligand	89	14	14	31	41
2	PPh ₃ (2)	92	71	13	13	3
3	P[3,5-(CF ₃) ₂ C ₆ H ₃] ₃ (3)	94	75	—	19	6
4	P(R _F) ₃ (4) ^b	94	82	—	17	1
5	Ph ₂ POR _F (5) ^b	81	88	—	12	—
6	Cy ₂ POR _F (6) ^{b,c}	89	90	—	—	—
7	Ph ₂ PR _F (7) ^b	88	89	—	11	—
8	Cy ₂ PR _F (8) ^b	100	100	—	—	—

^a (hfacac)Rh(coe)₂ **1** (0.02 mmol), ligand L (0.04 mmol) and substrate (1.0 mmol). Conversion and product selectivity were determined by ¹H and ¹¹B NMR using hexamethyldisiloxane standard. ^b R_F = CH₂CH₂C₆F₁₃. ^c Some polymeric material was observed in this reaction.



Scheme 2

boration. Triphenylphosphine, its 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl analog, and P(R_F)₃ all greatly increased hydroboration regiochemistry, but chemoselectivity was improved only marginally. Alkylboronate ester **A** was formed exclusively with cyclohexyl-substituted phosphine **8** (L:Rh ratio = 2:1), demonstrating the ability to control regioselectivity and chemo-selectivity by tuning the solubility and stereoelectronic properties of ligands and metal catalysts in scCO₂.

In several studies of rhodium-catalyzed hydroboration of disubstituted alkenes, such as α- and β-methylstyrenes, monodentate ligands have been found to give poor selectivity to the desired Markovnikov addition product.⁸ While conversions were low in some cases, the ability to control regiochemistry through tunable fluorinated ligands in scCO₂ is further illustrated in Scheme 2 and Table 2. For β-methylstyrene, the tris[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]phosphine **3** and cyclohexyl-substituted ligands **6**, **8** gave the highest activity, but with only fair regiocontrol. Ligands **4**, **5** and **7** gave alkylboronate ester **E** exclusively. The advantage of ligand tunability is also demonstrated for the reaction of α-methylstyrene and HBcat, as changing from cyclohexyl **6** to phenylphosphinite ligand **5** yields only the Markovnikov addition product **E'**.

Solvent effects play an important role in determining the selectivity of catalytic hydroboration reactions.¹² Gladysz and

Table 2 Hydroboration of α - and β -methylstyrene^a in scCO₂

Entry	L	Conversion (selectivity) (%)	
		E:F:G	E':F'
1	P[3,5-(CF ₃) ₂ C ₆ H ₃] ₃ (3)	100 (0:24:76)	90 (78:22)
2	P(R _F) ₃ (4)	56 (55:45:0)	13 (100:0)
3	Ph ₂ POR _F (5)	13 (100:0:0)	8 (100:0)
4	Cy ₂ POR _F (6)	3 (50:50:0)	71 (89:11)
5	Ph ₂ PR _F (7)	19 (96:4:0)	16 (100:0)
6	Cy ₂ PR _F (8)	38 (36:64:0)	77 (71:29)

^a Conversion and selectivity were determined after 24 h.

Table 3 Effect of solvent on the regioselectivity of vinylanisole hydroboration^a

Entry	L	Solvent	Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)			
				A	B	C	D
1	P(R _F) ₃ (4)	THF	82	66	15	13	6
		CF ₃ C ₆ F ₁₁	84	57	16	19	8
		scCO ₂	94	82	—	17	1
2	Ph ₂ POR _F (5)	THF	100	52	21	16	11
		CF ₃ C ₆ F ₁₁	98	37	23	23	17
		scCO ₂	81	88	—	12	—
3	Cy ₂ POR _F (6)	THF	94	20	37	35	8
		CF ₃ C ₆ F ₁₁	89	24	26	37	13
		scCO ₂ ^b	89	90	—	—	—
4	Ph ₂ PR _F (7)	THF	93	84	—	12	4
		CF ₃ C ₆ F ₁₁	90	80	4	12	4
		scCO ₂	88	89	—	11	—
5	Cy ₂ PR _F (8)	THF	100	32	34	17	17
		CF ₃ C ₆ F ₁₁	91	25	41	17	17
		scCO ₂	100	100	—	—	—

^a Reactions in organic solvents were run in NMR tubes using 0.002 mmol **1**, 0.004 mmol ligand, and 0.1 mmol vinylanisole. Conversion and selectivity were determined by ¹H NMR. ^b Some polymeric material was observed in this reaction.

Horvath¹³ reported an elegant study of alkene hydroboration in fluororous biphasic media using RhCl[P(R_F)₃]₃ derived from **4**; however, the regioselectivity for styrene derivatives was low. Comparing the reaction of vinylanisole and HBcat in scCO₂ to that in perfluoromethylcyclohexane led to some surprising results. Catalyst precursor **1** and ligand **4** showed significantly greater regiocontrol in scCO₂ (Table 3, entry 1). This trend held for ligands **5–8** and was particularly striking for Cy₂PR_F (entry 5) which afforded a single product in scCO₂. Remarkably, the selectivity in scCO₂ for **4–8** was also considerably higher than that observed in THF. Ligand **4** is not soluble in THF; however, partially fluorinated ligands **5–8** and their rhodium complexes are fully soluble under the reaction conditions. In THF, product selectivity using the nonfluorinated analog of ligand **8** (*i.e.* Cy₂PC₈H₁₇) was found to be similar to that observed for ligand **8**, confirming the insulating effect of the two methylene spacers in the R_F group.[†] There are several reports^{4,5,14,15} of enhanced selectivity of catalysed reactions in scCO₂ compared to conventional organic solvents. Except for a few cases where density is controlled through pressure changes,¹⁶ we are unaware of any examples presenting such a dramatic effect as that shown here. The origin of the higher selectivities for hydroborations is not clear. Since ligand-free rhodium complexes result in poor hydroboration selectivity,¹¹ stability and lability of the catalysts (*i.e.* keeping the ligand on the metal), which are likely solvent dependent, may play an important role.

It is also possible that a Rh- η^3 -benzyl intermediate¹⁷ that would lead to **A** could be stabilized in scCO₂ relative to the η^1 -regioisomer that would yield **B**.

In summary, we have demonstrated that catalysed alkene hydroboration can proceed in supercritical CO₂ without any difficulty from B-H reactivity with the solvent. Regiocontrol can be achieved using tunable ligands of the form R₂PR_F and R₂POR_F. Furthermore, significantly higher regioselectivities can be obtained for these ligands in scCO₂, relative to fluorocarbons and even THF. Given further advancements in catalyst separations and recovery in scCO₂,¹⁸ catalysis in this medium can complement fluororous phase approaches. We are currently assessing the effects of pressure (solvent density) on selectivity, monitoring reactive species by *in situ* NMR spectroscopy, and studying stoichiometric reactions of isolable 16 electron rhodium-boryl complexes.¹⁹

This work was supported as part of the Los Alamos Catalysis Initiative by the Department of Energy through Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) funding and by the Department of Energy (DE-FG02-98ER45732) for work performed at UNO. We would like to thank Drs Chris Haar and Dale Smith (UNO) for providing ligands and Professor John Gladysz for a generous donation of P(CH₂CH₂C₆F₁₃)₃.

Notes and references

[†] Triphenylphosphine and catalyst precursor **1** (3:1) or Wilkinson's catalyst (ref. 9) lead to high selectivity >95% to **A** in THF; however, these catalysts are not effective for methylstyrenes (ref. 19).

- B. Cornils and W. A. Herrmann, *Applied Homogeneous Catalysis with Organometallic Compounds*, VCH, Weinheim, 1996.
- D. Koch and W. Leitner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 13 398.
- M. A. Carroll and A. B. Holmes, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 1395.
- D. K. Morita, D. R. Pesiri, S. A. David, W. H. Glaze and W. Tumas, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 1397.
- P. G. Jessop, T. Ikariya and R. Noyori, *Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **99**, 475.
- C. M. Haar, J. Huang and S. P. Nolan, *Organometallics*, 1998, **17**, 5018; D. C. Smith, Jr., E. D. Stevens and S. P. Nolan, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 5277.
- P. Bhattacharyya, D. Gudmunsen, E. G. Hope, R. D. W. Kemmitt, D. R. Paige and A. M. Stuart, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.1*, 1997, 3609.
- S. A. Westcott, H. P. Blom, T. B. Marder and R. T. Baker, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 8863.
- I. Beletskaya and A. Pelter, *Tetrahedron*, 1997, **53**, 4957.
- S. Buelow, P. Dell'Orco, D. K. Morita, D. R. Pesiri, E. Birnbaum, S. L. Borkowsky, G. H. Brown, S. Feng, L. Luan, D. Morgenstern and W. Tumas, in *Frontiers in Benign Chemical Synthesis and Processing*, ed. P. Anastas and T. C. Williamson, Oxford University Press, 1998, p. 264.
- J. M. Brown and G. C. Lloyd-Jones, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1992, 710.
- T. M. Cameron, R. T. Baker and S. A. Westcott, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 2395.
- J. J. Juliette, D. Rutherford, I. T. Horvath and J. A. Gladysz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 2696.
- G. Francio and W. Leitner, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 1663.
- M. J. Burk, S. Feng, M. F. Gross and W. Tumas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 8277.
- R. S. Oakes, T. J. Heppenstall, N. Shezad, A. A. Clifford and C. M. Rayner, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 1459; R. S. Oakes, A. A. Clifford, K. D. Bartle, M. T. Pett and C. M. Rayner, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 247.
- T. Hayashi, Y. Matsumoto and Y. Ito, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1991, **2**, 601.
- S. Kainz, A. Brinkmann, W. Leitner and A. Pfaltz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 6421.
- K. Burgess, W. A. van der Donk, S. A. Westcott, T. B. Marder, R. T. Baker and J. C. Calabrese, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 9350.

Communication a909636a